



**Trillion Partners, Inc.  
9208 Waterford Centre Blvd., Suite 150  
Austin, Texas 78758**

**November 11, 2010**

Federal Communications Commission *Delivered via ECFS & email*  
Attention: Ms. Gina Spade, Deputy Division Chief  
Ms. Erica Myers, Wireline Competition Bureau  
Ms. Dana Bradford, Wireline Competition Bureau

Telecommunications Access Policy Division  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Re:

Appeal  
School District: Lewis-Palmer School District 38, Monument, CO  
Funding Year: 2006  
FRN Denied: 1395122  
Form 471 Application Denied: 507363  
Reason for Denial: Gifts

Dear Gina, Erica and Dana:

On November 3, 2010, Trillion filed a Master Appeal Summary with the FCC on ECFS, as well as provided the Master Appeal Summary to you via E-Mail and in hard copy. In the Master Appeal, Trillion provides the rationale as to why USAC's mass denial of funding is without merit. Please accept this Individual appeal for Lewis-Palmer School District 38, along with the Master Appeal Summary, as well as the Appeal that will be filed by the applicant, as the appeal in its totality.

USAC alleges that Lewis-Palmer School District 38 received valuable gifts which tainted a fair and open competitive bidding process as the basis for denial of funding. Trillion denies USAC's allegations. In fact and in all instances, the meals, gifts and gratuities that Trillion provided to the applicant were in full compliance with all applicable (FCC, state and local) competitive bidding and procurement requirements at the time. Please also note, in February of 2009, Trillion instituted a Code of Conduct for its employees that prohibits meals, gifts or gratuities of any kind and is stricter than the new rules put in place with the FCC Sixth Report and Order, FCC 10-175.

One such gift noted in USAC's allegations was attendance at Visionaries in Technology Education Counsel ("VTEC"). Trillion provided the description of VTEC, which was an educational conference for existing Trillion customers after they were under contract, in a letter to Mel Blackwell of USAC dated June 8, 2009. VTEC was a participant-driven conference focused on education-oriented best practices. The main goal was improving education and the application of technologies to achieve this goal. Guest speakers included nationally renowned speakers including a University professor and a learning technology expert, neither of whom were

**Trillion Value System**

**Integrity & Ethics ♦ Professionalism & Respect ♦ Customer Driven ♦ Having Fun!**

9208 Waterford Centre Boulevard Suite 150 Austin, Texas 78758 (512)334-4100

associated with Trillion. Each participant shared their thoughts in an open discussion forum on what they generally thought would shape education in the years to come.

Expenses associated with the VTEC conference in no way affected any competitive bidding process and only applied to existing customers who wished to discuss, present, and learn various education oriented topics with their peers and experts. This conference was in full compliance with all applicable FCC, state and local procurement guidelines that were in effect at the time.

The amounts spent on meals or other routine business expenses were trivial and could not have possibly influenced a decision that would ultimately be made by the School Board. Furthermore, no member of the School Board or decision maker received any such expense. The fact is, Trillion invested \$753,384 in order to construct the Lewis-Palmer School District 38's network providing critical services with a total contract value of \$1,948,046, while the amount of the routine business meals and expenses only amounted to \$233 on average per year across the entire district. All expenses occurred after the school district was an existing customer and no meal, gift or gratuity went to any individual with decision making authority.

In summary, this applicant's actions were in full compliance with FCC, state and local procurement guidelines in effect at the time, as described in the Master Appeal Summary. Therefore, the customer's actions did not, in any way whatsoever, improperly affect the competitive bidding process.

It would be a travesty if this application were to be denied based upon a retroactive application of the new rule defined in the FCC Sixth Report and Order. Trillion respectfully requests that this appeal be granted.

Sincerely,

Trillion Partners, Inc.

Attachments:

- Master Appeal Summary dated November 3, 2010 as previously filed on November 3, 2010 under ECFS Number 2010113403548
- Funding Decision Commitment Letter (FCDL)

Cc:

Ron Reich, Intel Capital  
Peter Pitsch, Intel

**Trillion Value System**

**Integrity & Ethics ♦ Professionalism & Respect ♦ Customer Driven ♦ Having Fun!**

9208 Waterford Centre Boulevard Suite 150 Austin, Texas 78758 (512)334-4100



Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letter

Funding Year 2006: July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007

October 20, 2010

Tracey Lehman

LEWIS-PALMER SCHOOL DIST 38

146 JEFFERSON STREET

MONUMENT, CO 80132

Re: Form 471 Application Number: 507363  
Funding Year: 2006  
Applicant's Form Identifier: lpsd\_All  
Billed Entity Number: 142146  
FCC Registration Number: 0011609906  
SPIN: 143025872  
Service Provider Name: Trillion Partners, Inc  
Service Provider Contact Person: Virginia Bryant

Our routine review of Schools and Libraries Program (Program) funding commitments has revealed certain applications where funds were committed in violation of Program rules.

In order to be sure that no funds are used in violation of Program rules, the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) must now adjust your overall funding commitment. The purpose of this letter is to make the required adjustments to your funding commitment, and to give you an opportunity to appeal this decision. USAC has determined the applicant is responsible for all or some of the violations. Therefore, the applicant is responsible to repay all or some of the funds disbursed in error (if any).

This is NOT a bill. If recovery of disbursed funds is required, the next step in the recovery process is for USAC to issue you a Demand Payment Letter. The balance of the debt will be due within 30 days of that letter. Failure to pay the debt within 30 days from the date of the Demand Payment Letter could result in interest, late payment fees, administrative charges and implementation of the "Red Light Rule." The FCC's Red Light Rule requires USAC to dismiss pending FCC Form 471 applications if the entity responsible for paying the outstanding debt has not paid the debt, or otherwise made satisfactory arrangements to pay the debt within 30 days of the notice provided by USAC. For more information on the Red Light Rule, please see "Red Light Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)" posted on the FCC website at [http://www.fcc.gov/debt\\_collection/faq.html](http://www.fcc.gov/debt_collection/faq.html).

TO APPEAL THIS DECISION:

You have the option of filing an appeal with USAC or directly with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

If you wish to appeal the Commitment Adjustment Decision indicated in this letter to USAC your appeal must be received or postmarked within 60 days of the date of this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. In your letter of appeal:

1. Include the name, address, telephone number, fax number, and email address (if available) for the person who can most readily discuss this appeal with us.
2. State outright that your letter is an appeal. Identify the date of the Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letter and the Funding Request Number(s) (FRN) you are appealing. Your letter of appeal must include the
  - Billed Entity Name,
  - Form 471 Application Number,
  - Billed Entity Number, and
  - FCC Registration Number (FCC RN) from the top of your letter.
3. When explaining your appeal, copy the language or text from the Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letter that is the subject of your appeal to allow USAC to more readily understand your appeal and respond appropriately. Please keep your letter to the point, and provide documentation to support your appeal. Be sure to keep a copy of your entire appeal including any correspondence and documentation.
4. If you are an applicant, please provide a copy of your appeal to the service provider(s) affected by USAC's decision. If you are a service provider, please provide a copy of your appeal to the applicant(s) affected by USAC's decision.
5. Provide an authorized signature on your letter of appeal.

To submit your appeal to us on paper, send your appeal to:

Letter of Appeal  
Schools and Libraries Division - Correspondence Unit  
100 S. Jefferson Rd.  
P. O. Box 902  
Whippany, NJ 07981

For more information on submitting an appeal to USAC, please see the "Appeals Procedure" posted on our website.

If you wish to appeal a decision in this letter to the FCC, you should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. Your appeal must be received by the FCC or postmarked within 60 days of the date of this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options described in the "Appeals Procedure" posted on our website. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554.

## FUNDING COMMITMENT ADJUSTMENT REPORT

On the pages following this letter, we have provided a Funding Commitment Adjustment Report (Report) for the Form 471 application cited above. The enclosed Report includes the Funding Request Number(s) from your application for which adjustments are necessary. See the "Guide to USAC Letter Reports" posted at <http://usac.org/sl/tools/reference/guide-usac-letter-reports.aspx> for more information on each of the fields in the Report. USAC is also sending this information to your service provider(s) for informational purposes. If USAC has determined the service provider is also responsible for any rule violation on the FRN(s), a separate letter will be sent to the service provider detailing the necessary service provider action.

Note that if the Funds Disbursed to Date amount is less than the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount, USAC will continue to process properly filed invoices up to the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount. Review the Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation in the attached Report for an explanation of the reduction to the commitment(s). Please ensure that any invoices that you or your service provider(s) submits to USAC are consistent with Program rules as indicated in the Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation. If the Funds Disbursed to Date amount exceeds your Adjusted Funding Commitment amount, USAC will have to recover some or all of the disbursed funds. The Report explains the exact amount (if any) the applicant is responsible for repaying.

Schools and Libraries Division  
Universal Services Administrative Company

cc: Virginia Bryant  
Trillion Partners, Inc

Funding Commitment Adjustment Report for  
Form 471 Application Number: 507363

Funding Request Number:	1395122
Services Ordered:	INTERNET ACCESS
SPIN:	143025872
Service Provider Name:	Trillion Partners, Inc
Contract Number:	n/a
Billing Account Number:	719 488 4700
Site Identifier:	142146
Original Funding Commitment:	\$3,600.00
Commitment Adjustment Amount:	\$3,600.00
Adjusted Funding Commitment:	\$0.00
Funds Disbursed to Date	\$3,600.00
Funds to be Recovered from Applicant:	\$3,600.00

Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation:

After a thorough investigation, it has been determined that this funding commitment must be rescinded in full. During the course of a review, documentation provided by you and/or your vendor indicated that there was not a fair and open competitive bid process free from conflicts of interest. The documents provided by you and/or your service provider indicated that, prior to/throughout your contractual relationship with the service provider listed on the FRN, you were offered and accepted gifts, meals, gratuities, or entertainment from the service provider, which resulted in a competitive process that was no longer fair and open. Therefore, the commitment has been rescinded in full and USAC will seek recovery of any disbursed funds from the applicant and service provider.